

MELODIC

Mental Health Support for Young Adults with Cancer

Project Number: 101101253

WP1: Project Management and Quality Assurance

Deliverable 1.2: Quality Report

Authors		Maarika Asi	
Version		V1.1	
Due Date		31.08.2025	
Work Package		WP 1	
Deliverable		D 1.2	
Dissemination level		PU	
WP Leaders		TARTUH	
Delivered		31.08.2025	
Version V1.1.	Date 31.08.2025	The deliverable updated according to comments by MELODIC project manager	Modified by Maarika Asi

1. Quality Management Objectives

Tartu University of Applied Sciences (TARTUH) has adopted the PM² methodology for standardized project quality assurance. In the PM² methodology, Quality Control is the process of verifying that project deliverables meet the defined quality standards and acceptance criteria. Tools like quality checklists, issue logs, and test reports support these activities, helping the responsible leader of the Quality Assurance (TARTUH) systematically validate the project outputs.

For successful Quality Assurance of the MELODIC project, we will utilize the main elements of the PM² methodology:

1. Reviewing Deliverables: Systematic checks against predefined acceptance criteria.
2. Testing and Validation: Functional and non-functional testing (e.g., performance, usability).
3. Inspections and Peer Reviews: Formal or informal reviews by team members or stakeholders.

2. Main goals of the Quality Assurance in MELODIC project

In the PM² methodology, Quality Assurance (QA) focuses on ensuring that the project's processes and practices are followed correctly to produce high-quality deliverables. Unlike Quality Control, which checks the outputs, QA is concerned with the processes used to create those outputs. It involves planning quality activities, defining standards, conducting audits, and continuously improving project practices.

QA in PM² includes creating a Quality Management Plan, setting up process compliance checks, and promoting a culture of continuous improvement. It ensures that the project team adheres to established procedures, templates, and governance structures, helping to prevent issues before they arise and supporting consistent delivery across projects.

A Quality Management Plan (QMP) in the PM² methodology is a key project artefact that outlines how quality will be managed throughout the project lifecycle. It defines the quality objectives, standards, roles, and procedures to ensure that both the project processes and deliverables meet stakeholder expectations.

Specifically, the QMP includes:

Quality Objectives: What quality means for the project and what standards must be met.

Quality Assurance Activities: How the project will ensure that processes are followed correctly.

Quality Control Measures: How deliverables will be checked and validated.

Roles and Responsibilities: Who is responsible for quality-related tasks (e.g., Project Manager, Quality Assurance Manager).

Tools and Techniques: Checklists, templates, audits, and review processes to support quality efforts.

3. Quality Objectives and Assurance Activities

Quality Management Process:

1. Define the Quality Characteristics

This step involves setting clear expectations for what constitutes high-quality outcomes in the project. It includes:

- Defining quality criteria for deliverables (e.g. scientific publications, online course materials).

Scientific publications – structure and clarity; scientific rigor; referencing and ethics.

Online course materials – learning design; content quality; accessibility and usability; engagement and interactivity; assessment and feedback.

- Establishing standards and benchmarks for performance and outputs.
- Creating templates and guidance for work package leaders to ensure consistency and clarity (Microsoft Forms link, feedback from the WP leaders every 3 months). Link: <https://forms.office.com/e/g25HaWRZSJ>

2. Perform the Quality Assurance

Quality assurance is a continuous process to ensure that project activities and outputs meet the defined standards. It includes:

- Developing a Quality Management Plan with procedures, responsibilities, and evaluation methods.
- Providing ongoing support and guidance to work package leaders.
- Implementing deliverables review process using standardized templates (Project's Progress Monitoring table (Excel) - *TARTUH_MELODIC_quality_assurance_monitoring.xlsx*)

-

- Producing annual quality reports (at months 12, 24, and 36) with improvement suggestions.

3. Perform Quality Control

- Reviewing deliverables against the defined quality criteria.
- Using milestones as checkpoints to monitor progress.
- Holding regular meetings with work package leaders and the project manager to address any deviations or issues (every 2-3 months).

4. Perform Deliverables Acceptance

- Reviewing and validating each deliverable to ensure it meets the requirements.
- Documenting and archiving accepted deliverables (EU4HEALTH platform).

Deliverables table has been listed in the end of the document.

5. Perform Final Acceptance

- Evaluating the overall success of the project against its objectives and quality standards.
- Preparing a final report summarizing achievements, lessons learned, and recommendations.
- Hosting a final stakeholder event to present results and confirm project closure.

4. Project Members and Responsibilities

- Project Manager (TUAS): accountable for quality assurance and control.
- Work Package Leaders: responsible for QA/QC in their WPs.
- Advisory Board and Patient Advisory Board: consulted for feedback and validation.
- All partners: support quality activities and documentation.

Project management is structured into sub-groups, with the main decision-making body being the Project Steering Committee, established during the first month. This committee includes representatives from partner organizations who are not directly involved in implementation. It is chaired by a designated Chair, and meeting minutes are recorded by the Turku UAS Project Manager. The committee meets twice a year or as needed, with voting rights granted to members, excluding the Chair and the Secretary.

At the operational level, the Work Package (WP) Leaders Team oversees implementation. This team meets every month to monitor progress using tools such as the quality management plan, risk register, implementation plan, and deliverables list. Quality assessments and evaluation reports are first reviewed by this team before being submitted to the Steering Committee for decisions.

The WP Leaders Team is composed of members listed below.

MELODIC WP Leaders

Partner	WP	Name
Turku UAS	all	Mari Lahti
Turku UAS	WP1	Tapio Häyhtiö
Erasmus MC	WP2	Wendy Oldenmenger
Turku UAS	WP3	Johanna Berg
NUI Galway	WP4	Martin Power
ESEL	WP5	Joaquim Manuel de Oliveira Lopes

5. Tools and Techniques for the Quality Assurance

In MELODIC project, following tools and techniques for the Quality Assurance have been used:

- 1) Internal peer reviews, monthly MELODIC team and work package leader's meetings.
- 2) Online collaboration platforms (MS Teams).

Quality Assurance Activities:

- Internal audits of deliverables
- Monthly WP leader meetings
- Using checklists for deliverables reviews

6. Reporting of the Quality Assurance of the project

Project's Quality Assurance reporting is done thrice throughout the project period (august 2025, 2026 and 2027) to the granting authority.

Quality Assurance reporting periods

Period	Time	Deadline	Report to granting authority
1	01.09.2024 - 31.08.2025	31.08.2025	x
2	01.09.2025 - 31.08.2026	30.9.2025	x
	01.09.2026 - 31.08.2027	31.3.2026	x

Deliverables

Work Package No	Deliverable Related No	Deliverable Name	Description	Lead Beneficiary	Due Date	New Due Date (if delay)	Delivery Date
WP1	D1.1	Project implementation manual	Project implementation manual written and presented in English Language.	TURKU UAS	31 Oct 2024		20 Dec 2024
WP1	D1.2	Quality report 1	Yearly quality assessment report and suggestions for improvements	THCC	31 Aug 2025		
WP1	D1.3	Quality report 2	Yearly quality assessment report and suggestions for improvements	THCC	31 Aug 2026		
WP1	D1.4	Quality report 3	Yearly quality assessment report and suggestions for improvements	THCC	31 Aug 2027		
WP1	D1.5	Action level indicators	Overview of the action level indicators as specified in the call document.	TURKU UAS	31 Aug 2027		
WP2	D2.1	Data management plan	Data management protocol in English. Describes all types of data used, collected and generated. Includes guidelines for processing and handling personal and sensitive data. Further provides guidelines for adherence to Open Science principles.	ERASMUS MC	28 Feb 2025	31 Mar 2025	24 Mar 2025
WP2	D2.2	Survey report	Manuscript to be offered on scientific journal as open access publication (the manuscript is not published on project	ERASMUS MC	30 Jun 2025	30 Nov 2025	

			website, link is provided when published). The deliverable report includes the protocol, process, main outcomes and questionnaire developed. Languages: English (questionnaire also in Greek, Dutch, Estonian, Finnish, Portuguese).				
WP2	D2.3	Interview study report	Manuscript to be offered on scientific journal as open access publication (the manuscript is not published on project website, link is provided when published). The deliverable report includes the protocol, process and main outcomes. Languages: English	ERASMUS MC	31 Oct 2025		
WP2	D2.4	Need assessment report	Summary report on needs assesement with conclusions for WP3 and WP4. Languages: English	ESEL	31 Dec 2025		
WP3	D3.1	Curriculum	Curriculum published on project website. Languages: English. Programme guide (how to implement the programme). Languages: English, Greek, Dutch, Estonian, Finnish, Portuguese	TURKU UAS	30 Nov 2025		
WP3	D3.2	Training programme evaluation report	Evaluation report including: Number of participants completed the programme, evaluation survey results (satisfaction, general feedback, readiness and skills, intention to change practice). Languages: English	ESEL	30 Apr 2026		
WP3	D3.3	Guide	Guide for continuing education on mental health needs of people affected by cancer published on project website. Languages: English	TURKU UAS	30 Apr 2026		

WP4	D4.1	Ethical review	Ethics requirements, data management plan and approvals processed for all countries (combined as part of the studies in WP2)	NUI GALWAY	31 Aug 2025		
WP4	D4.2	Intervention guide	Intervention guide published on project website including the description of the intervention, how it is implemented and how the impact can be evaluated. Language: English, English, Greek, Dutch, Estonian, Finnish, Portuguese. Instruction materials with information on the research for the participants. Languages: English, Greek, Dutch, Estonian, Finnish, Portuguese	NUI GALWAY	31 Mar 2026		
WP4	D4.3	Evaluation report	Manuscript to be offered on scientific journal as open access publication (the manuscript is not published on project website; link is provided when published). The deliverable report includes the protocol, process, main outcomes and evaluation of the feasibility of the intervention. Language: English	UNIWA	28 Feb 2027		
WP5	D5.1	Communication strategy	Communication strategy including channels and methods for communication and dissemination, graphics of the project, website and instructions on communication and documentation of communication and dissemination activities (templated logos, disclaimer, hashtags, project information leaflet). Language: English	TURKU UAS	31 Dec 2024		31 Dec 2024

			Project information leaflet Languages: English, Greek, Dutch, Estonian, Finnish, Portuguese Website Language: English				
WP5	D5.2	Policy outreach strategy	Internal policy strategy document Languages: English	ECO	31 Aug 2025		
WP5	D5.3	Policy recommendations report	Policy recommendation published on the project website Languages: English	ECO	28 Feb 2026		
WP5	D5.4	Evidence based recommendations on screening, early detection and management	Recommendations published on website Languages: English	UTU	31 Jul 2027		
WP5	D5.5	Communication and dissemination report	Communication and dissemination report published on website including activities realised (publications, SoMe activity, conference presentations and other dissemination actions).	TURKU UAS	31 Aug 2027		

Conclusion of the first Quality Assurance period

To improve the consistency, clarity, and timeliness of deliverables, several areas have been identified for enhancement:

A centralized review template (in Microsoft Forms) will be put into use to improve visibility into deliverable status and quality. This will help flag potential delays earlier and enable more proactive support. Regular check-ins between work package leads and the coordination team will be scheduled to ensure alignment and timely issue resolution (every 2-3 months).